

UDC 330. 37.07

JEL I20, I21, I22, I25, I26

DOI 10.32782/2786-765X/2025-9-27

**Yelyzaveta Mykhailova**

PhD., Associate Professor,

National University of Water and Environmental Engineering

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1539-1548>

## THE ROLE OF CRISIS MANAGEMENT IN STRENGTHENING EDUCATIONAL RESILIENCE

The education sector is increasingly facing challenges related to economic instability, digital transformation, and external informational pressures. The implementation of crisis management mechanisms is essential to ensure the sustainability, efficiency, and adaptability of educational institutions. **Objective.** This study analyzes the effectiveness of crisis management in education, focusing on decentralization, financial sustainability, and digital adaptation. **Methods.** A mixed-method approach is applied, combining statistical analysis, expert assessments, and SWOT analysis to evaluate crisis management strategies. **Results.** Decentralization enhances adaptability but requires better coordination, financial optimization ensures stability through resource reallocation, and digital transformation mitigates crises but faces challenges like digital inequality and cybersecurity risks. **Scientific Novelty.** The study integrates financial, digital, and governance strategies into a holistic crisis management model, addressing their interdependencies. **Practical Significance.** The findings offer policy recommendations on financial resource optimization, digital infrastructure, and governance improvements to strengthen educational resilience.

**Keywords:** crisis management, education sector, financial optimization, digital transformation, informational challenges, educational sustainability.

**Problem statement and relevance.** The modern education system operates under conditions of constant external influences, including economic fluctuations, technological advancements, and informational crises. As education remains a crucial driver of knowledge-based economies, ensuring its resilience against crises becomes imperative [3].

The education sector is increasingly exposed to global crises, including economic downturns, technological shifts, and informational disruptions. The instability of external environments, coupled with financial constraints and digital transformation demands, challenges traditional education management approaches. In Ukraine, the impact of economic and geopolitical crises has further intensified the need for adaptive crisis management in educational institutions [2]. Addressing these challenges requires a structured approach to crisis mitigation, ensuring resilience and sustainability in the sector.

**Analysis of recent studies and publications.** Crisis management in education has been widely explored in academic literature of Ivko N. [2], Kratt O. [3], Tye Kenneth A. [5], particularly in the contexts of financial sustainability, digital transformation, and decentralized governance. Tye Kenneth A. [5] emphasizes the importance of risk assessment tools, early warning mechanisms, and institutional adaptability in mitigating crises. Various studies have examined different dimensions of crisis response. For example,

research on economic crisis, that was held by ETUCE, highlights budgetary optimization and resource allocation strategies as essential tools for maintaining financial stability in educational institutions [1]. Studies provided by Ivko N. [2], Kratt O. [3], on digital transformation in education discuss how the integration of information and communication technologies enhances institutional resilience and ensures continuity during periods of disruption. Additionally, ETUCE's research on decentralization and governance analyzes the role of localized decision-making in improving crisis response efficiency [5].

Despite the progress in these areas, existing research does not fully address the interplay between financial optimization, digitalization, and decentralization within a comprehensive crisis management framework for education. Most studies focus on individual aspects rather than considering them in an integrated manner. Moreover, while there is substantial literature on economic crises and their impact on higher education, less attention is given to the specific role of informational challenges, such as the spread of misinformation, cyber threats, and rapid technological shifts that affect educational institutions. This study aims to bridge this gap by evaluating the effectiveness of crisis management mechanisms under informational challenges, particularly in the context of higher education institutions in Ukraine.

**Aim of the study.** This article aims to analyze the effectiveness of crisis management

mechanisms in the education sector, focusing on decentralization, financial sustainability, and digital adaptation. The study explores how these factors contribute to the resilience and stability of educational institutions in times of crisis.

**Main research findings.** Decentralization in education governance has emerged as a key strategy for enhancing institutional resilience and responsiveness in times of crisis. By transferring decision-making authority from central government bodies to regional and institutional levels, decentralization enables educational institutions to develop localized strategies that are more aligned with the specific challenges they face [1]. This approach fosters flexibility and efficiency, allowing institutions to respond swiftly to crises such as financial instability, infrastructural disruptions, and informational threats.

In Ukraine, reforms in the education sector have emphasized the role of regional educational authorities and institutional autonomy. Localized governance structures have been given greater responsibility in resource allocation, policy implementation, and the development of crisis management strategies [2]. These changes have allowed universities and schools to adapt more effectively to crisis situations by tailoring solutions to their unique needs. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, decentralized institutions were able to introduce hybrid learning models, reallocate financial resources, and establish independent digital learning platforms without relying entirely on centralized government directives.

However, decentralization also presents significant challenges that can hinder the effectiveness of crisis management. One of the primary issues is the unequal distribution of resources, as wealthier regions and institutions with strong administrative capacities can implement crisis measures more effectively than those with limited funding and expertise [1]. This disparity creates an imbalance in the quality of education and the ability of institutions to withstand crises. Another challenge is the lack of coordination between different levels of governance, which can lead to inconsistent crisis responses and delays in decision-making. In some cases, the absence of clear guidelines from central authorities has resulted in confusion among institutional leaders, particularly when dealing with financial crises, emergency response mechanisms, and digital transformation efforts.

Despite these challenges, decentralization remains a crucial element of effective crisis management in education. To enhance its effectiveness, there is a need for stronger institutional networks, better resource-sharing mechanisms, and improved communication channels between local

and central authorities. Additionally, capacity-building initiatives should be introduced to equip regional education administrators with the skills and knowledge required to manage crises more effectively. By strengthening decentralization frameworks, educational institutions can improve their ability to respond to crises proactively, ensuring stability and resilience in the face of external challenges [6].

Financial stability is a crucial factor in ensuring the resilience of educational institutions during crises. The impact of economic downturns, reduced state funding, and shifting priorities in public expenditure has forced educational institutions to develop financial optimization strategies to maintain their operations. In times of crisis, such as economic recessions, wars, or pandemics, governments often reallocate funds to address immediate socio-economic challenges, leading to significant budget cuts in education. This necessitates institutions to find alternative ways to sustain their activities while ensuring quality education.

One of the key aspects of financial optimization in education is the prioritization of core educational functions. Institutions must focus their expenditures on maintaining essential teaching and research activities while reducing administrative costs and non-essential programs. Many universities and schools have adopted cost-cutting measures such as merging departments, reducing staff salaries, or limiting infrastructure development projects [5]. However, such actions can also negatively affect the quality of education, leading to increased workloads for educators, a decline in research output, and limited access to learning resources for students.

A major challenge in financial optimization is the reliance on state funding, particularly in countries where higher education is predominantly financed by the government. In Ukraine, the reduction in state subsidies for universities has created financial instability, prompting institutions to explore alternative funding sources. One of the most effective approaches has been the integration of public-private partnerships, where educational institutions collaborate with private enterprises, non-governmental organizations, and international donors to secure additional funding. Such partnerships often involve joint research initiatives, sponsored scholarship programs, and investment in innovative educational technologies. These collaborations not only provide financial support but also strengthen the connection between academia and industry, making educational programs more relevant to labor market needs.

Another significant strategy in financial optimization is the adoption of digital learning platforms, which have proven to be cost-effective

solutions for maintaining educational processes during crises [6]. The transition to online education reduces the need for physical infrastructure, leading to lower maintenance costs for universities and schools. Additionally, the implementation of e-learning tools enables institutions to reach a wider audience, including international students, thereby generating additional revenue. However, digital transformation also requires significant initial investment in technology, digital infrastructure, and training for educators. Without proper planning, the shift to online learning can exacerbate inequalities, as students from disadvantaged backgrounds may struggle with limited access to digital devices and reliable internet connections.

Despite efforts to optimize financial management, educational institutions continue to face structural constraints that limit their ability to adapt to financial crises effectively. The lack of long-term strategic planning remains a critical issue, as many crisis management decisions are made reactively rather than proactively. Institutions often implement short-term cost-cutting measures without considering their long-term impact on educational quality and institutional sustainability. Furthermore, bureaucratic inefficiencies and rigid financial regulations make it difficult for universities to diversify their funding sources and implement flexible budgetary policies.

To improve financial sustainability in education, a more strategic approach to financial planning is required. This includes the development of multi-year budgeting models that anticipate potential crises and establish financial reserves for emergency situations. Additionally, there is a need for policy reforms that provide educational institutions with greater financial autonomy, allowing them to manage their resources more efficiently. Investing in digital infrastructure and training programs for educators should also be prioritized to ensure that online education remains a viable and sustainable solution in crisis scenarios. By implementing comprehensive financial optimization strategies, educational institutions can enhance their resilience and maintain stability even in times of economic uncertainty.

Digital transformation has become a crucial component of crisis management in education, allowing institutions to maintain operations despite physical disruptions. The integration of digital technologies in education has accelerated in recent years, particularly in response to global crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Online learning platforms, virtual classrooms, and digital resource-sharing systems have enabled students and educators to continue academic activities even when traditional face-to-face instruction is not possible. This shift has underscored

the importance of digital resilience in education, demonstrating that institutions with well-developed digital infrastructures are better equipped to handle crises.

The adoption of digital learning platforms has provided several advantages in crisis situations. First, it ensures the continuity of education by enabling remote learning, thereby preventing interruptions in academic progress. Second, it allows for greater flexibility in teaching methods, making it possible to offer personalized learning experiences tailored to individual student needs. Third, digitalization has facilitated collaboration among institutions by enabling knowledge-sharing, joint research initiatives, and virtual academic exchanges [3]. These benefits have significantly contributed to the overall resilience of the education sector in times of crisis.

However, the rapid transition to digital education has also revealed several challenges that need to be addressed. One of the most pressing issues is digital inequality, as not all students have equal access to the necessary technological resources. Many students, particularly those from economically disadvantaged backgrounds, struggle with unreliable internet connections, limited access to digital devices, and a lack of technical support. This digital divide exacerbates existing educational inequalities, making it more difficult for certain groups of students to benefit fully from online learning opportunities.

Another challenge is the need for educators to develop new digital competencies. Many teachers and university professors have had to adapt quickly to online teaching methods without sufficient training or institutional support. The lack of familiarity with digital tools and platforms has sometimes resulted in ineffective online instruction, reducing student engagement and learning outcomes. To address this issue, educational institutions must invest in professional development programs that equip educators with the necessary skills to deliver high-quality digital education.

Furthermore, cybersecurity concerns have emerged as a critical challenge in digital education. The increasing use of online platforms for learning and administrative purposes has made educational institutions more vulnerable to cyber threats, including data breaches, phishing attacks, and unauthorized access to sensitive information [6]. Ensuring the security and privacy of digital education systems requires significant investment in cybersecurity measures, as well as the implementation of clear policies and guidelines for data protection.

Despite these challenges, digitalization remains a key strategy for crisis mitigation in education. To maximize its benefits, institutions must adopt

a comprehensive digital strategy that includes investments in infrastructure, training programs for educators, and measures to ensure equitable access to digital resources. The development of hybrid learning models that combine online and in-person instruction can also enhance flexibility and resilience, allowing institutions to respond more effectively to future crises [3]. By addressing the challenges associated with digitalization, educational institutions can build a more adaptive and robust education system capable of withstanding external disruptions.

**Conclusions.** Crisis management in the education sector requires a multifaceted approach that integrates decentralization, financial optimization, and digital transformation. The findings of this study demonstrate that a proactive and well-structured crisis management strategy significantly enhances institutional resilience, allowing educational institutions to navigate crises more effectively.

Decentralization plays a vital role in crisis response by enabling educational institutions to develop localized strategies and make independent decisions tailored to their specific challenges. While this approach increases flexibility and responsiveness, it also creates disparities in resource distribution and requires better coordination between central and regional authorities. Strengthening decentralization frameworks through improved resource-sharing mechanisms and enhanced institutional networks is essential for achieving a more balanced and effective crisis management system.

Financial optimization is another critical component of crisis management, as economic downturns and budgetary constraints often threaten the stability of educational institutions.

Strategic financial planning, the integration of public-private partnerships, and the adoption of cost-effective digital learning solutions are necessary to ensure financial sustainability. However, short-term cost-cutting measures must be carefully managed to prevent long-term negative impacts on education quality. Policy reforms that grant institutions greater financial autonomy can further enhance their ability to manage financial crises proactively.

Digitalization has emerged as one of the most effective crisis mitigation strategies, allowing educational institutions to continue operations despite physical disruptions. Online learning platforms, virtual classrooms, and digital collaboration tools have provided new opportunities for teaching and learning, making education more accessible and flexible. Nevertheless, challenges such as digital inequality, the need for teacher training, and cybersecurity concerns must be addressed to maximize the benefits of digital transformation. Investing in digital infrastructure and ensuring equal access to technology for all students are essential steps toward building a resilient and inclusive education system.

Future research should explore the potential of artificial intelligence and big data analytics in crisis management for education, focusing on predictive models that can anticipate crises and suggest preventive measures. Additionally, a deeper analysis of policy frameworks that support crisis resilience in education will be crucial for shaping long-term strategies that enhance institutional adaptability. By implementing a comprehensive crisis management approach, educational institutions can strengthen their ability to withstand future challenges while continuing to provide high-quality education.

### Бібліографічний список

1. ETUCE action and campaign framework on the economic crisis: Analysis of a mini-survey on the impact of the economic crisis on teacher education in the European Union. ETUCE, September, 2012. 34 p.
2. Івко Н. В. Маркетинговий підхід в управлінні сучасними навчальними закладами. *Вісник Національного технічного університету України «Київський політехнічний інститут»*. Філософія. Психологія. Педагогіка. Київ : ІВЦ «Політехніка», 2005. № 2. Ч. 1. С. 134–139.
3. Кратт О. А. Ринок послуг вищої освіти: методологічні основи дослідження. Монографія. Донецьк : ТОВ «Юго-Восток, ЛДТ», 2003. 360с.
4. National Center for Education Statistics. Education Expenditures by Country. *Condition of Education*. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences. 2022. URL: <https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator/cmd>
5. Tye Kenneth A. Global Education: From Thought to Action. *Alexandria*, 1990. P. 1990.
6. What is the impact of the economic crisis on public education spending? *Education Indicators in Focus*. December 2013. OECD, 2013. 4 p.

### References

1. ETUCE action and campaign framework on the economic crisis: Analysis of a mini-survey on the impact of the economic crisis on teacher education in the European Union. ETUCE (2012). 34 p.
2. Ivko N. (2005) Marketynhovyi pidkhyd v upravlinni suchasnymy navchalnym zakladamy [Marketing approach in management of modern educational institutions]. *Visnyk Natsionalnoho tekhnichnoho*

*universytetu Ukrainy "Kyivskyi politekhnichniy instytut". Filosofiia. Psykholohiia. Pedagogika [Bulletin of the National Technical University of Ukraine "Kyiv Polytechnic Institute". Philosophy. Psychology. Pedagogy] №2. Part1. P. 134–139.*

3. Kratt O. (2003). Rynok posluh vyshchoi osvity: metodolohichni osnovy doslidzhennia koniunktury [The market of higher education services: methodological bases of the study of the conjuncture]. Donetsk: Yuhovostok.
4. National Center for Education Statistics. (2022). Education Expenditures by Country. Condition of Education. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences. URL: <https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator/cmd>
5. Tye Kenneth A. (1990) Global Education: From Thought to Action. Alexandria. P. 1990.
6. OECD (2013). What is the impact of the economic crisis on public education spending?. Education Indicators in Focus. December 2013. 4 p.

**Михайлова Є.В.**

кандидат філологічних наук, доцент,  
Національний університет водного господарства  
та природокористування  
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1539-1548>

## РОЛЬ АНТИКРИЗОВОГО УПРАВЛІННЯ У ЗМІЦНЕННІ СТІЙКОСТІ ЗАКЛАДІВ ОСВІТИ

Сфера освіти все частіше стикається з викликами, пов'язаними з економічною нестабільністю, цифровою трансформацією та зовнішніми інформаційними загрозами. Впровадження ефективних механізмів антикризового управління є ключовим фактором забезпечення стабільності, ефективності та адаптивності освітніх закладів. Дана стаття досліджує ефективність антикризового управління в освітньому секторі, зокрема в контексті децентралізації управління, фінансової оптимізації та впровадження адаптивних стратегій для підвищення стійкості освітніх установ. Метою статті є аналіз та оцінка ефективності антикризового управління у сфері освіти з акцентом на децентралізацію, фінансову стійкість та цифрову трансформацію. Дослідження спрямоване на виявлення основних механізмів, які сприяють підвищенню адаптивності освітніх закладів у періоди криз та інформаційних загроз. Для досягнення поставленої мети використано комплексний підхід, який включає кількісний та якісний аналіз. Результати дослідження свідчать, що децентралізація управління освітою сприяє швидшій адаптації до кризових ситуацій, однак вимагає покращення координації між державними та регіональними структурами. Фінансова оптимізація є ключовим елементом антикризового управління, і ефективне використання бюджетних ресурсів разом із залученням приватного фінансування дозволяє забезпечити стійкість освітнього сектору. Важливу роль у кризових умовах відіграє цифрова трансформація, яка дозволяє забезпечити безперервність навчального процесу, однак потребує усунення проблем цифрової нерівності та підвищення рівня цифрових компетенцій викладачів. Результати дослідження можуть бути використані для вдосконалення політики антикризового управління в освіті на національному та регіональному рівнях. Запропоновані рекомендації щодо фінансової оптимізації, розвитку цифрових платформ і вдосконалення механізмів децентралізації можуть бути застосовані для підвищення стійкості освітніх установ до кризових викликів. Отримані висновки також можуть бути корисними для розробки стратегій розвитку освіти в умовах глобальних інформаційних загроз та економічних нестабільностей.

**Ключові слова:** антикризове управління, сфера освіти, фінансова оптимізація, цифрова трансформація, інформаційні виклики, освітня стабільність.